

# **GEE Models**

POLS571: Longitudinal Data Analysis  
December 4, 2001

## GLMs

- Models in which one specifies the “link” function  $E(Y_i) \equiv \mu_i = g(X_i\beta)$  and the relationship between the mean and the variance (e.g.  $V_i = \frac{g(\mu_i)}{\phi}$ ).
- Standard GLMs obtain estimates by solving the “score equations”:

$$U_k(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^N D_i V_i^{-1} (Y_i - \mu_i) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $D_i = \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \beta}$  and  $V_i$  is the variance matrix, above.

- See e.g. McCullagh and Nelder 1989; Gill 2000.

## GLMs for Correlated Data

- Consider  $E(Y_{it}) \equiv \mu_{it} = g(X_{it}\beta)$ ,  $T > 1$ .
- Must make some provision for dependence within  $i$ , across  $t$ .

**The answer:** Specify the conditional within-unit correlation...

- Define the “working”  $T \times T$  correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}_i(\alpha)$  as a function of  $\alpha$ .
- Structure of  $\mathbf{R}_i(\alpha)$  determined by the investigator.

- Then redefine the variance matrix in (1) as:

$$V_i = \frac{(A_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{R}_i(\alpha) (A_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\phi} \quad (2)$$

- Intuition:
  1. Choose  $\beta$  so that  $\mu_{it}$  is “close” to  $Y_{it}$  on average,
  2. Optimally weight each residual  $(Y_{it} - \mu_{it})$  by the inverse of  $Cov(Y_i)$ .

## Correlation Structures

- “Working Independence” :  $\mathbf{R}_i(\alpha) = \mathbf{I}$ 
  - No within-unit correlation.
  - Equivalent to (e.g.) standard logit/probit.
- “Exchangeable” :  $\mathbf{R}_i(\alpha) = \rho$ 
  - Observations covary equally within units.
  - Estimate a single parameter.
  - Similar to “random effects” .

- Autoregressive :  $\mathbf{R}_i(\alpha) = \rho^{|t-s|}$ 
  - Here, AR(1).
  - Correlation decays over (e.g.) time.
  - Can also do “banded” / “stationary” correlations.
- “Unstructured” :  $\mathbf{R}_i(\alpha) = \alpha_{st}, t \neq s$ 
  - $\alpha$  is a  $T \times T$  matrix.
  - Estimate  $\frac{T(T-1)}{2}$  unique pairwise correlations
  - Very flexible; often hard to estimate.

## “Robust” Standard Errors

- $\hat{\beta}_{GEE}$  is robust to misspecification of  $\mathbf{R}_i(\alpha)$

- $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{GEE})$  is not.

- Solution: “sandwich” estimator:

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_{GEE}) = N \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{D}'_i \hat{V}_i^{-1} \hat{D}_i \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{D}'_i \hat{V}_i^{-1} S_i \hat{V}_i^{-1} \hat{D}_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{D}'_i \hat{V}_i^{-1} \hat{D}_i \right)^{-1}$$

where  $S_i = (Y_i - \mu_i)(Y_i - \mu_i)'$ .

- Similar to the Huber/White estimator – robust to misspecification.

## GEE2

- Consider the  $m = \frac{T(T-1)}{2}$  elements of  $\mathbf{R}_i(\alpha)$ .
  - Normally “nuisance parameters” .
  - May wish to estimate them as well.

- Can do this with a separate estimating equation:

$$U_m(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^N E_i' W_i^{-1} (Z_i - \eta_i) \quad (3)$$

- Can be estimated either separately from  $U_k(\beta)$  [with  $Cov(U_k(\beta), U_m(\alpha)) = 0$ ], or allowing the two to covary.
- Drawbacks: Requires correct specification of  $\mathbf{R}_i(\alpha)$  for consistent estimates of  $\hat{\beta}$ .

## Software

- Stata (-xtgee-, my personal favorite)
- SAS
- S-Plus / R
- GAUSS
- Specialized packages (esp. for GEE2)

## Example: Supreme Court Dissents

- Outcome variable: Number of dissenting opinions, by justice and year, 1789-1994.
- $N \approx 108, T \approx 206$ .

- Model:

$$\begin{aligned} DISSENTS_{jt} = & f[\beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(CASES_t) + \\ & \beta_2 CHIEF_{jt} + \beta_3 FRESHMAN_{jt} + \\ & \beta_4 TENURE_{jt} + \beta_5 NORM_t + \\ & \beta_6 MINORITY_{jt} + u_{jt}] \end{aligned}$$

- Compare GEE (Stata's `-xtpois, pa-`) and random-effects Poisson (Stata's `-xtpois, re-`) models.

## Model Comparisons

Variable	Random Effects	GEE Exchangeable	GEE AR(1)
(Constant)	-3.52 (0.31)	-3.59 (0.72)	-3.75 (0.54)
<i>ln</i> (Cases)	0.78 (0.06)	0.71 (0.12)	0.73 (0.09)
Chief Justice	-1.20 (0.11)	-1.35 (0.22)	-0.92 (0.19)
Freshman	-1.00 (0.10)	-1.03 (0.25)	-0.95 (0.20)
Tenure	0.03 (0.001)	0.03 (0.01)	0.03 (0.01)
Consensual Norm	1.40 (0.12)	2.18 (0.22)	2.30 (0.15)
Minority Justice	0.16 (0.04)	0.17 (0.15)	0.15 (0.16)
$\hat{\alpha}$	0.69 (0.12)		
$\hat{\rho}$		0.27	0.53
<i>NT</i>	1730	1730	1728

## Conclusions

- GEEs useful when correlation isn't the central focus (and even when it is...).
- Can be used with continuous, binary, count response variables.
- Available in most widely-used software packages.